

1 CHRISTOPHER A. NEDEAU (CA SBN 81297)
2 CARL L. BLUMENSTEIN (CA SBN 124158)
3 KATHARINE CHAO (CA SBN 247571)
NOSSAMAN LLP
50 California Street, 34th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 398-3600
Facsimile: (415) 398-2438
cnedea@nossaman.com
cblumenstein@nossaman.com
kchao@nossaman.com

7 Attorneys for Defendants
AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION and
8 AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION AMERICA

9 JEFFREY H. HOWARD (*pro hac vice*)
10 JEROME A. MURPHY (*pro hac vice*)
CROWELL & MORING LLP
11 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
12 Telephone: (202) 624-2500
Facsimile: (202) 628-5116
13 jhoward@crowell.com
jmurphy@crowell.com

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff
15 MOTOROLA, INC.

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

18 IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST
19 LITIGATION

CASE NO. 3:09-CV-5840-SI

MDL NO. 3:07-MD-1827-SI

20 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

21 3:09-CV-5840-SI

22 MOTOROLA, INC.,

23 Plaintiff,

24 VS.

25 AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,

26 Defendants.

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS
AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION AND AU
OPTRONICS CORPORATION AMERICA
TO ANSWER THE SECOND AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

28 257471_2.DOC

MASTER FILE NO. 3:07-MD-1827 SI
CASE NO. 3:09-CV-5840 SI

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS AU OPTRONICS
CORPORATION AND AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION AMERICA TO ANSWER THE SAC

1
2 WHEREAS the Court denied defendants' joint motion to dismiss plaintiff Motorola, Inc.'s
3 Second Amended Complaint ("SAC") on March 28, 2011;

4 WHEREAS the deadline for defendants to answer the SAC was therefore set for April 11, 2011
5 pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(4)(A);

6 WHEREAS plaintiff Motorola, Inc. and defendants AU Optronics Corporation and AU
7 Optronics Corporation America (collectively "AUO Defendants") have agreed to extend AUO
8 Defendants' time to answer the SAC up to and including April 18, 2011, pending approval of the Court;

9 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the undersigned
10 counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, that:

11 AUO Defendants shall have up to and including April 18, 2011 to file their answer to the SAC.

12 //

13 //

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

257471_2.DOC

MASTER FILE NO. 3:07-MD-1827 SI
CASE NO. 3:09-CV-5840 SI

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS AU Optronics
CORPORATION AND AU Optronics CORPORATION AMERICA TO ANSWER THE SAC

1 DATED: April 11, 2011

Nossaman LLP

2
3 By: /s/ Christopher A. Nedeau
4 CHRISTOPHER A. NEDEAU (CA SBN 81297)
5 NOSSAMAN LLP
6 50 California Street, 34th Floor
7 San Francisco, California 94111-4799
Telephone: (415) 398-3600
Facsimile: (415) 398-2438
cnedreau@nossaman.com

8
9 *Counsel for Defendants*
AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION AND
AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION AMERICA

10
11 CROWELL & MORING LLP

12
13 By: /s/ Jerome A. Murphy
14 JEROME A. MURPHY (*pro hac vice*)
15 CROWELL & MORING LLP
16 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: (202) 624-2500
Facsimile: (202) 628-5116
jmurphy@crowell.com

17
18 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
MOTOROLA, INC.

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
257471_2.DOC


MASTER FILE NO. 3:07-MD-1827 SI
CASE NO. 3:09-CV-5840 SI

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS AU OPTRONICS
CORPORATION AND AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION AMERICA TO ANSWER THE SAC

1 Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this
2 document has been obtained from the signatories to this document.

3

4 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 

6 By: _____
7 Hon. Susan Y. Illston

8 Date: _____ 4/113/11
9